From: Ian Almeida Subject: Re: HRHOA Ma boxes standard - vote or d scuss on needed Date: October 14, 2017 at 2:06 AM To: Renee B sse Cc: K ffer Andress Treasurer@h gh andr dgehoa.org
I vote yes!
Sent from my iPhone
On Oct 13, 2017, at 6:35 PM, Renee Bissell
I vote yes!
Realtor
On Thu, Oct 12, 2017 at 8:31 PM, Kiffer Andress Executive Board,
We have several homeowners who need to replace their mailboxes so I thought this would be the best time to resolve an ongoing complaint.
A bit of history - in 2009 the Board elected to put forth to the membership a new mailbox standard as well as a payment plan where each member would pay 1/2 the cost in year one, with the HOA covering the 2nd half. Each member would then pay in year 2 the second half - which 100% did so. After going through the meeting minutes I see that the Board realized, after the annual meeting, that a 2/3 yes vote of the entire membership was required to make a change to the Bylaws - not a vote only from 2/3, regardless of yes or no. Therefore, the Board voted to change the ACC recommendations, completely within our Bylaws.
I am proposing that we change the ACC recommendations to what I have suggested. Therefore, when a member buys a new mailbox it will be recommended that they buy this version.
Why change the mailbox standard? - Current standard does not allow for replacement parts - Only one vendor to purchase from
Why choose the Imperial Mailbox System?

- National standard

- Multiple vendors with wide price ranges
 Many options
 All replacement parts are available for purchase

The file "HRHO Mailbox Standard - The Imperial System" details the standard I am suggesting

along with a picture.

The file "Imperial Mailbox Components" shows all the various parts and options. The red circled items are what I am proposing, which is very similar in look to what we have now and follows the overall approach that Chimney Lakes took (located at the end of Johnson Ferry at Shallowford Road). Please note that certain combinations cannot be ordered as indicated in this file.

I propose we either have a quick conference call or let's have a motion and vote.

If there are any questions please let me know.

Thank you,

Kiffer

From: Ryan MacDonald

Subject: RE: HRHOA Ma	boxes standard - vote or d so	cuss on needed
-----------------------	-------------------------------	----------------

- Date: October 13, 2017 at 4:16 PM
 - To: execut veboard@h gh andr dgehoa.org
 - Cc: H gh and R dge Treasurer@h gh andr dgehoa.org

I vote yes. Thanks, Ryan

From: Gardner, Kim [Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:05 AM] Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 9:05 AM To: James A. Kinnard; 'Bryan Ricks'; 'Kiffer Andress'; executiveboard@highlandridgehoa.org Cc: 'Highland Ridge' Subject: RE: HRHOA Mailboxes standard - vote or discussion needed

I vote yes.

Thanks. Kim

Kim Gardner,

From: James A. Kinnard	
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 8:53 AM	
To: 'Bryan Ricks' >; 'Kiffer Andress' <	>; executiveboard@highlandridgehoa.org
Cc: 'Highland Ridge' < <u>Treasurer@highlandridgehoaorg</u> >	
Subject: RE: HRHOA Mailboxes standard - vote or discussion	n needed

I second Bryan's motion. and vote Aye on it's adoption.

I believe that there are only four (4) mailboxes in the neighborhood that are not the new style. While I agree with the idea that the change should have been mandatory, we shouldn't hamper the HOA's progress forward. This new standard that Kiffer has proposed is definitely a step in a positive direction. While my mailbox is in better condition than most, I am not very happy with it's construction or it's installation, not to mention the fact that repair components are not available for it. Let's at least solve this problem going forward, before we end up with many, many more complaints than we already have.

James

From: Bryan Ricks Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 12:06 AM To: James A. Kinnard; Kiffer Andress; <u>executiveboard@highlandridgehoa.org</u> Cc: Highland Ridge Subject: Re: HRHOA Mailboxes standard - vote or discussion needed

I make a motion that we approve the new Imperial Mailbox Systrm as the New standard.

Thanks, Bryan Ricks

On Thu, Oct 12, 2017 at 11:48 PM James A. Kinnard <

wrote:

Looks like a good plan to me and I would support it.

RM

James

-----Original Message-----From: Kiffer Andress [mailto Sent: Thursday, October 12, 2017 8:32 PM To: <u>executiveboard@highlandridgehoa.org</u> Cc: Highland Ridge Subject: HRHOA Mailboxes standard - vote or discussion needed

Executive Board,

We have several homeowners who need to replace their mailboxes so I thought this would be the best time to resolve an ongoing complaint.

A bit of history - in 2009 the Board elected to put forth to the membership a new mailbox standard as well as a payment plan where each member would pay 1/2 the cost in year one, with the HOA covering the 2nd half. Each member would then pay in year 2 the second half - which 100% did so. After going through the meeting minutes I see that the Board realized, after the annual meeting, that a 2/3 yes vote of the entire membership was required to make a change to the Bylaws - not a vote only from 2/3, regardless of yes or no. Therefore, the Board voted to change the ACC recommendations, completely within our Bylaws.

I am proposing that we change the ACC recommendations to what I have suggested. Therefore, when a member buys a new mailbox it will be recommended that they buy this version.

Why change the mailbox standard?

- Current standard does not allow for replacement parts
- Only one vendor to purchase from

Why choose the Imperial Mailbox System?

- National standard
- Multiple vendors with wide price ranges
- Many options
- All replacement parts are available for purchase

The file "HRHO Mailbox Standard - The Imperial System" details the standard I am suggesting along with a picture.

The file "Imperial Mailbox Components" shows all the various parts and options. The red circled items are what I am proposing, which is very similar in look to what we have now and follows the overall approach that Chimney Lakes took (located at the end of Johnson Ferry at Shallowford Road). Please note that certain combinations cannot be ordered as indicated in this file.

The content of this e-mail message and any attachments are confidential and may be legally privileged, intended solely for the addressee If you are not the intended recipient, be advised that any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this e-mail is strictly prohibited. If you receive this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by reply email and destroy the message and its attachments